**Ravit’s Email on Gender Selection**

I am not a big expert in gender-related terms, but here are a few gender options from another study I am involved in:

Male

Female

Non-binary

Transgender

Intersex

Prefer to self-describe:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

If you require an answer to each question, then also add “prefer to not disclose” as Daniel mentioned.

**Daniel’s Email**

Hi guys,

A few comments:

I think the description is too detailed. I would write something more short and vague

and leave the detailed explanations for debriefing. Lengthy explanation tire people and might prime them in ways you want to avoid. I am also not sure about the image with us dollars. Maybe choose a more neutral image (with charts and arrows).

For the demographic variables it's better to add values people choose from.

For gender be sure to have a nonbinary or choose not to disclose option. For age avoid the possibility of negative values. I think you can pass on the experience with task scroll bar though if people think it is useful we can keep it.

The display is still not ideal: I would use bigger fonts and space the choice buttons from the text. ~~I would use thicker bar charts.~~

To judge the manipulation maybe you can send screen shots of both conditions appearing together.

Cheers

Daniel

**Ravit’s Email**

Hi Peter and Yahel,

Thanks for sending the revised consent and the updated links.

For the consent, I would suggest a minor edit – “decision making” instead of “decisions making” (“You will learn about decisions making”).

I just quickly reviewed the links. It looks good but it seems that some is still missing, so will be looking forward to additional updates.

Here are a few comments/thoughts based on my quick experience:

The first screen:

~~I wouldn’t put the picture with calendar and money as it might put too much emphasis and give too many clues about what the study is about.~~ I would also not have the title “how to visualize it”. Choose something neutral that suits all conditions.

In the description you also say that the later amount has more value – I wouldn’t prime participants with any information.

Can you set up multiple choice for gender? Might be easier, but I can also see why you wanted to let people self-define more freely.

When I tried the first link, the “next” button didn’t turn red right away. I had to use the age arrows and then it turned red.

I would ask more - years of work experience? Other details about their work? Are you planning on downloading after the fact from Prolific - education level? Ethnicity? Some things may just be standard to report in an article - like education, marital status, ethnicity. In addition, these could be moderators that influence an effect

Second screen:

What about a quick "attention check" in the beginning of the survey? Do we need it?

At least the first link said “differen times”

Also, not sure that everyone will know what “radio button” means (so maybe “click the Next button”?)

In general, my recommendation is to try to create the different conditions as similar as possible, except for the specific variable/factor you are testing. For example, if one condition has lengthier instructions/significantly more text to read, that could make an unintended difference (or reviewers can argue that it could be an alternative explanation).

In the bar chart conditions, you start the general instructions with “Bar chart:” in bold. If this doesn’t appear in the word condition, is it necessary?

Also, you mention that the time axis can have dates – but are we doing dates in this series? Just weeks from now?

For the charts, the vertical axis says “$ in USD” – it reads like “dollars in US dollars”. Maybe it would make more sense to just put the $ sign as in the word version? Or say “US dollars” in both? It would be best to be consistent across all versions.

For the third link: I wasn’t able to see the entire graph, just the area where the later amount appears. Maybe I was doing something wrong?

I hope it helps for now,

Let me know how I can assist further,

Ravit

Thank You:

Maybe you want to add a short debriefing. A few sentences telling them more. You can mention discounting, that you are testing the effect of visualization. Better here than in the beginning.